

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,522	01/28/2002	Mark James Batchelor	VPI/96-01 CIP2 DIV3 4312	
1473	7590 02/01/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP			KIFLE, BRUCK	
ROPES & GRAY LLP 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YOR	ζ, NY 10020-1105		1624	
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Abandanment	10/058,522	BATCHELOR ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		<u> </u>		
This application is abandoned in view of:				
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offi (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on, but it does to the Aproposed reply was received on	Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on	··		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fill Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	on consists only of: (1) a timely filed ared Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	nendment which places the		
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).				
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.				
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL		the statutory period of three months		
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).				
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan	ce of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.			
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	quired by, and within the three-month բ	period set in, the Notice of		
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by t the applicants.	he attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed class		e the period for seeking court review		
7. The reason(s) below:				
		Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20050107		